Auto/cross-correlation of Green Picosecond Pulses Based on Two-photon Photodiodes

REU Student: Si (Athena) Pan Connecticut College Mentor: Dimitre Ouzounov Graduate Student Mentor: Heng Li Summer 2008



- Ultrashort pulses, its measurement and motivation of my project
- Two-photon absorption measurement in UV diodes
- Auto-correlation measurement error quantification

Ultrashort Pulses & Its Measurement

- Electromagnetic Pulses whose time duration is in the femtosecond ($fs = 10^{-15} s$) to picosecond ($ps = 10^{-12} s$) range.
- Electronics devices (diodes, oscilloscopes, etc) are not fast enough to allow direct measurement of picosecond and femtosecond pulses.
- Intensity Cross-Correlation:

$$A_{c}(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{s}(t) I_{r}(t-\tau) dt$$





Interferometric Autocorrelation





Interferometric Autocorrelation:

- Split the pulse in two with a Michelson Interferometer.
- Overlap them as they recombine.
- Shaker arm creates the delay time.

First Stage of 1.3 GHz System



Longitudinal Shaping & SHG Auto-correlator



• ERL requires Flat-top pulses.

We stack 2-ps pulses through a sequence of 3 birefringent crystals to produce pulse with nearly flat-top.

• **Problem**: SHG crystal is polarization sensitive.



Solution



• ERL requires Flat-top pulses.

We stack 2-ps pulses through a sequence of 3 birefringent crystals to produce pulse with nearly flat-top.

• **Problem**: SHG crystal is polarization sensitive.



Two-photon Photo-diode



- $h\nu < E_g < 2h\nu$
- Two-photon induced photocurrent: signal is a *quadratic function* of power ~ I^2
- Problem: Impurity —> linear absorption signal _> obscure quadratic signal
- **Solution?** ~ Find one that works!





Two-photon Absorption Experiment

- Measurement: photo-current as a function of the laser beam power.
- Setup Polarizer Beamsplitter Cube $\lambda/2$ -plate $\gamma/2$ -plate $\gamma/$
- Trial Experiment: Diode = G1116, $\lambda = 1 \mu m$, $I_{peak} \sim 10^7 \text{ W/cm}^2$, w ~ 15 μm
- Result: nice quadratic response (as expected)





Experiment With Green ($\lambda = 520$ nm) Laser



Experiment With Green ($\lambda = 520$ nm) Laser (2)

Photcurrent (nA) UVTOP260 f = 11 mm10Diode = UVTOP260 $f_{lens} = 11 \text{ mm}$ w ~ 4 μ m $I_{peak} \sim 9.8 \times 10^8 \text{ W/cm}^2$ y = 1.03x - 1.215 6 2 3 4 789 100 Power (mW) 100 E Photocurrent (nA) UVTOP300 f = 11 mm Diode = UVTOP300 $f_{lens} = 11 \text{ mm}$ $w \sim 4 \ \mu m$ 10 $I_{\text{peak}} \sim 9.8 \times 10^8 \text{ W/cm}^2$ y = 1.04x - 0.512 3 4 5 6 7 8 2 3 10 100

Power (mW)





Errors in Auto-correlation Measurement

• Two major sources of error associated with

our measurement:

- Linear absorption signal distortion
- Misalignment while scanning the delay

Linear Absorption Distortion

- Linear absorption signal can distort the shape of the pulse.
- **Simulation:** assume pulse FWHM = 2 ps, two-photon signal = linear signal



Linear Absorption Distortion (2)



- Intensity Autocorrelation: The background to peak ratio is also distorted.
- Linear signal = $c \cdot \text{two-photon}$ signal ($0 \le c \le 1$)
- Background to peak ratio distortion \downarrow , as $c \downarrow$.
- Difference in FWHM also \downarrow . Max discrepancy (c = 1) ~ 3%



Misalignment

• Misalignment Error

- Align at zero delay

- Partially overlapping in time & space





Misaligned Negative delay Misaligned Positive delay

Reference Beam

Misalignment Error Simulation

- Assume: $D_{beam} = 20 \ \mu m$, pulse FWHM = 2 ps
- Misalignment:





• Simulation shows:

Artificially shortened pulses are measured due to misalignment.

Misalignment Error Simulation (2)

• Under normal conditions \rightarrow error within < 10%.



Misalignment + Linear Distortion

• Misalignment ~ $5\mu m$, linear signal = two-photon signal



* These plots are intended to show what happens. Under normal conditions, the difference may not be so obvious.

The Misalignment Experiment



The Misalignment Experiment (2)



Experimental Results VS Theoretical Results

• **Experimental** Results:

$$\frac{FWHM_{5\mu m} - FWHM_{12\mu m}}{FWHM_{5\mu m}} \approx 3\%$$

• Theoretical Results:

$$\frac{FWHM_{5\mu m} - FWHM_{12\mu m}}{FWHM_{5\mu m}} \approx 1.6\%$$



Discrepancy arises due to
 the non-negligible
 wobbling effect of the
 shaking mirror.

Conclusion

- 1. None of the photo-diode exhibits quadratic response signal at the current power level. We will continue to search for more suitable diodes.
- 2. However, it is likely that these diodes we tested will work at higher beam power, which will happen once the second stage amplifier is in operation.
- 3. Computer simulation shows that linear absorption signal can
 distort the background to peak ratio of both the interferometric and intensity autocorrelation measurement.

- artificially lengthen the pulse. (< 5%)

4. Computer simulation also shows misalignment between the reference and shaker arm will yield artificially shortened pulses. There is about a factor of 2 discrepancy between the experimental and theoretical results. One of the main reason for such discrepancy is due to the increasing wobbling effect of the shaker mirror when it is misaligned purposely.



I would like to thank my mentor **Dimitre Ouzounov**, grad student, **Heng Li**, and professor **Frank Wise** for their guidance and encouragement.

I would also like to thank the **LEPP REU** program for providing me with the opportunity to work and study here at Cornell University this summer.