TPC Detector Response Simulation and Track Reconstruction

Physics goals at the Linear Collider drive the detector performance goals:
    charged particle track reconstruction resolution: $\delta(1/p)=$ 4×10^{-5} /GeV
                    reconstruction efficiency: 100% within jets for energy flow measurements

Simple simulations, which represent the detector response as smeared space points,
    show that the track reconstruction resolution can be achieved with the "Large Detector".

For example:

TPC:
    2.0 m O.R., 0.5 m I.R., 150 μm spatial resolution
Vertex Detector:
    5 layer, 10 μm spatial resolution
Intermediate Tracking Device:
    2 layer, r=0.45 m, 10 μm spatial res.
      à $\delta(1/p)= 4.2 \times 10^{-5}$ /GeV

TRACKING SYSTEM FOR LD-MAR01 DETECTOR

cos(θ) = 0.90

TPC

cos(θ) = 0.99

Si μ-strip

CCD Vertex Detector (+ cryostat)

R (cm)

Z (cm)

Reconstruction efficiency cannot be easily estimated in the event environment of the Linear Collider,
    it is dependent on the **non-Gaussian smearing effects: noise and track overlap**.

Reconstruction Efficiency

While reconstruction efficiency is difficult to estimate,

      one could achieve the **maximum efficiency** using the **maximum segmentation** possible
      with a GEM or MicroMegas amplification TPC.

      However, the channel count would be excessive (and expensive);

$$[\ 0.1 \ cm^2 \ pads \ ] \ \grave{a} \ \ 2.4 \ x \ 10^6 \ multi\text{-}hit \ channels \ .$$

To optimize the detector design,
      measure the reconstruction efficiency with respect to the detector segmentation,
      determine the **minimum segmentation** that provides the "full" efficiency.

A TPC design would be simpler if I can convince you that $0.4 \ cm^2$ pads would be sufficient.

The goal of this work is to measure the reconstruction efficiency and thereby optimize the design
      for a TPC in the "Large Detector" design,
      incorporating as many real detector effects as possible
          (pad size, charge spreading, inefficient pads, noise),
      for complicated physics events simulating Linear Collider processes,
      and using pattern recognition that starts with pad level information (not space points) .

Many thanks to **Mike Ronan** for wrapping the Cornell reconstruction code in Java
      and providing a access to lcd simulation events in .sio format.

Illustration of information provided by the MC: Sample event

Sample event from lcd simulation

(All hits are are projected
onto one endplate.)

143 layers from 56cm to 200 cm

2 mm wide pads, 1cm radial "height"
(number of pads in layer
is multiple of 8)

**charge spread is minimal**
no noise

This would be similar to a situation
with 1 mm pads and
charge spreading to 2 pads,
a very expensive detector.

Sample Event: Tracks within a Jet

(Same event, same pad response)

Tracks in a jet are usually separated.

It *appears* that, when
taking advantage of the z separation,
the reconstruction task would be simple.


Active cone: Z=[r * (-6 / 80)] +/- 4.7 cm

CORNELL
UNIVERSITY
LEPP
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

Sample Event: Problem with Overlapping Tracks

(Same event, same pad response)

However, z separation is often
too small to provide track separation.

crossing tracks in r-f,   and
z-separation = **1 mm** .

But, track reconstruction can be efficient for
very close tracks by using information from
regions where the tracks are isolated. This is
an advantage of the pat. rec. to be described.

Active cone: Z=[r * (-6 / 80)] +/- 4.7 cm

Detector Simulation: Pad Response
## (and Clustering)

The lcd simulation provides only crossing points;
**extensions to the simulation are created within the CLEO library.**

Charge spreading on the pads
**Gaussian width, cut-off** (~ .002 of min.ion.),
 maximum total-number-pads
 charge is renormalized to provide a **total of min. ion**.

(below charge cut-off)

Wave Form to simulate time (=z) response

longitudinal spread

amplifier
decay time

track path

H_{pad}

$H_{pad} \cot(\theta)$

i.p.

longitudinal spread of track

(lost pulse)

Clustering in r-ϕ
 criteria for minimum **central pad** , added **adjacent** pads
 splitting at a local minimum,
 can lead to pulse height merging and incorrect clustering.

 Pads with > 0.51 of the maximum are treated as "core pads".
 (a detail of the primary pattern recognition)

cluster 2   cluster 1

CORNELL
UNIVERSITY
LEPP
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

New: Ionization distribution at large entrance angle

Ionization is spread across the cells. Previously, ionization was created only where the track crossed the central radius.

Also shown: multi-hits
clustering

Active cone: Z=[r * (-3 / 80)] +/- 4.7 cm

Track Reconstruction

With a goal of accurately measuring the TPC pad size and spreading that will provide
the "full" reconstruction efficiency in Linear Collider physics events,

it becomes important to know what is being measured,

inherent reconstruction efficiency, limited by the track overlap and hit distortion,

and NOT an efficiency that is limited by the algorithm.

Require a means to independently determine the root cause of reconstruction failures.

The CLEO reconstruction program include a diagnostics package that provides
internal hit information
and
a graphics interface to the hit assignment,
at intermediate stages in the programs.

This allows
rapid determination the root cause of reconstruction failures (on single tracks) and
algorithm development.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
LEPP
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

CLEO Track Reconstruction

The current CLEO charge particle track reconstruction

  originally written for a drift chamber
       (where z information is derived from the track and stereo layers),

  can be adapted to any type of device with dense hit information (like a TPC, but not silicon)
       by changing the details of how Z information is derived from the detector signals,

  is highly efficient for overlapped tracks
     (as shown in the event) $\longrightarrow$
   because any region of track separation can be used as a seed,

  has 3 stages:
    1. clean segment finding
    2. initial track finding within the segment road
    3. extension to more complicated regions (and other devices).

Segments are found in
pre-selected,
I.P. pointing, cones.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY **LEPP** LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

Projected hits for event, after detector response simulation

Same event as slide3

5 mm pads, **3.5 mm** charge spread

Noise: 0.003 occupancy
       in 3-d volume
      1 cm (r-ϕ) $\times$ 2 cm (z) $\times$ layer

Number of channels (1 side) 222 k
Number of layer crossings   14946
Number of track hits =   137019
(each crossing creating ~ 9.2 hits)

Number of noise hits =   89385

Active hits in green

Ignored hits in purple

Active cone: Z=[r * (-7 / 80)] +/- 4.7 cm

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
LEPP
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

Segment Finding Stage

Active hits in green

Ignored hits in purple

Current isolated segment is
shown in **yellow**

Other isolated segments are
shown in pink.

At this point, processing for
segments is not complete;
not all segment are found.

The segment has been
extended into the
overlap region.

Segments are interrupted
in regions of track overlap.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY **LEPP**
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

After 2nd Phase, r-ϕ view

Hits in <u>road</u> in orange.

Hits on <u>track</u> in white .

5 mm pads

Track does not extend into track overlap region.

r-ϕ impact = 280 μm

χ^2 (of the fit to a track) = 2
     with declared hit resolution: 100 μm

This implies that the hit resolution is too good;
hit resolution = 141 μm for 5 mm pads,

Smearing of the pulse heights is incomplete;
requires low-level electronic noise.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
LEPP
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

After 2nd Phase, residual (r-φ) view

PLOT: residual on horizontal
        (+/- 0.025 cm at edge)
        vs. radius on vertical

2nd phase pattern recognition uses
  local residual correlations

(radius is broken up into 16 parts)

In each radial part,
 look for correlated hits satisfying

  used r-φ road < 0.005 m
  used z road < 0.10 m .

As will be discussed later, there is only
a weak requirement on the
agreement of the
average z-coordinate of the
solutions in each radial part.

Then,
select best solution in each radial part.

No solutions were found at low radius.

Note: other track.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
LEPP
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

After 2nd Phase, z view

Hits in <u>road</u> in orange.

Hits on <u>track</u> in white.

PLOT: Z on vertical
      (+/- 2.5 meter)
      vs. path length on horizontal

The other track is also very close in Z.

Below .7 meter in arc length,
the hits are merged
and not usable, for either track.

Note:
 - other track (interference)
 - short tracks that escape the r-φ road,
 - curler, not completely in the r-φ road

MC tracks selected for efficiency studies

MC generated track list (not used)
1) $curv_1$, ϕ_1, $impact_1$, $Z0_1$, $COS(\theta)_1$
2) $curv_2$, ϕ_2, $impact_2$, $Z0_2$, $COS(\theta)_2$
3) $curv_3$, ϕ_3, $impact_3$, $Z0_3$, $COS(\theta)_3$
…

…

N) $curv_N$, ϕ_N, $impact_N$, $Z0_N$, $COS(\theta)_N$

MC generated hit list
1) gen. $track_1$, $layer_1$, X_1, Y_1, Z_1
2) gen. $track_2$, $layer_2$, X_2, Y_2, Z_2
3) gen. $track_3$, $layer_3$, X_3, Y_3, Z_3
…

i) gen. $track_i$, $layer_i$, X_i, Y_i, Z_i
…
j) gen. $track_j$, $layer_j$, X_j, Y_j, Z_j

…
M) gen. $track_M$, $layer_M$, X_M, Y_M, Z_M

Sub-list of contiguous generated hits satisfying…
 a) same generated track number
 b) starts at layer 1
 c) increasing layer number
 d) truncated if layer number decreases (top of curler)
 e) continues through at least 30 layers

"Plausible Track" List
 1) $curv_1$, ϕ_1, $COT(\theta)_1$, $impact_1$, $Z0_1$
 …
 n) $curv_n$, ϕ_n, $COT(\theta)_n$, $impact_n$, $Z0_n$

TRACK FIT

Match $\chi^2 = (\Delta C/.002)^2 + (\Delta\phi/.003)^2 + (\Delta COT/.002)^2$

Preliminary "results"

Track finding efficiency
dependence on pad width.

Require χ < 25 (defined on previous slide.)

Efficiency for straight tracks
 plateaus at ~ 4mm pad width, at ~ 97%.
   (Discussion follows.)

(Recall: Noise: 0.003 occupancy
        in 3-d volume
    1 cm (r-φ) x 2 cm (z) x layer)

Efficiency for curling tracks is worse.
And, the efficiency is worse at the
smallest pad width which can only be
the fault of the pattern recognition.
   (Discussion follows.)

Track Finding Efficiency *vs* TPC Pad Width
CLEO track finder
generic LC events plus random noise

preliminary; incomplete !
2nd level track-finding not optimized
3rd level track-finding not implemented

● 1/(diam of curv) <.4
● 1/(diam of curv) >.4

track finding efficiency

pad width , mm

Preliminary "results", discussion

Efficiency for straight tracks plateaus at ~ 4 mm cell width (for this noise level).

Efficiency at plateau: ~ 97% . Reason: track search does not extend beyond COT(θ)>2.

(from slide 16)

Track Finding Efficiency *vs* TPC Pad Width
CLEO track finder
generic LC events plus random noise

97%

preliminary; incomplete !
2nd level track-finding not optimized
3rd level track-finding not implemented

● 1/(diam of curv) <.4
● 1/(diam of curv) >.4

track finding efficiency

pad width , mm

Number Of Tracks Lost / Found
vs longitudinal angle of track
for 2mm width TPC pads

preliminary; high COT(θ) tracks
are not in the search range!

(efficiency for COT(θ) < 2.2) = .995

■ lost
■ found

number of lost / found tracks

COT(θ) of track

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
LEPP
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

Preliminary "results", discussion

Efficiency for curling tracks extrapolates to ~ 80%.
Anomalous behavior: efficiency decreases for cell size < 4mm.

Curling tracks require more refinement,
although improved since Berkeley.
Separate treatment for straight and
curling tracks may be required.

This will be addressed in the near future.

(from slide 16)

Track Finding Efficiency *vs* TPC Pad Width
CLEO track finder
generic LC events plus random noise

97%

preliminary; incomplete !
2nd level track-finding not optimized
3rd level track-finding not implemented

● 1/(diam of curv) <.4
● 1/(diam of curv) >.4

track finding efficiency

pad width , mm

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
LEPP
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

D. Peterson, "TPC Detector Response Simulation and Track Reconstruction", SLAC, 07-Jan-2004

## Can the plateau be pushed to 6mm cell width ?

Compare track lists from 2mm and 6mm cell size.
Identify 17 tracks lost with 6mm cell,
     out of the 763 tracks found with 2mm cell.
Identify 2 pathologies.

1) large overlap within selection cone.

An isolated segment is found, but the ability to start with
any isolated segment and extend is not yet implemented.

Basically, I am using the online version.

Can the plateau be pushed to 6mm cell width ?

2) overlap at small radius,

Earlier described that the "best" solution, within a radial group, is selected.

Inconsistency of the Z solutions is observed, but the consistency requirement is not implemented.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY **LEPP**
LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

Outlook

"Complete" at the SLAC 2004 ALCPG meeting:

  interface to the LCD physics simulation through .sio file (Mike Ronan)
  create a TPC geometry, data structure, and detector response simulation
       within the Cornell/CLEO reconstruction
  create the TPC specific x,y,z hit reconstruction routines
  upgrade the reconstruction to handle multi-hit electronics
  procedure for scanning through the I.P. pointing cones and sorting tracks
  develop a method for identifying tracks that should be found

  some optimization of the 1st level pattern recognition for TPC readout

  identification of pathologies limiting the efficiency at 6 mm pad width

Needed for efficiency studies: higher statistics
  would like to have events with a specific 2 body process, e.g. Z à μμ.
  for resolution: apply **low level noise** to all pulse heights, fraction of min.ion.
  implement the full 2nd level pattern recognition to
                solve inconsistent z solutions and resolve overlapping tracks
  implement the 3rd level pattern recognition to extend overlapping tracks

Result: efficiency vs pad size: efficiency nearly plateaus at 4mm pad size

Future results: **efficiency** and resolution vs. pad size
                charge spread , noise level, and 2-track separation,   P, and θ

CORNELL UNIVERSITY LEPP LABORATORY FOR ELEMENTARY-PARTICLE PHYSICS